**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (CHHATTIGARH)**

W.P.NO. 2179/2002

(UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OE INDIA)

PETITION FOR ISSUANCE OF A WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS OR ANY OTHER SUTIABLE WRIT OR WRITS, ORDER OR ORDERS DIRECTION / DIRECTIONS.

PETITIONER : Anand Kumar Jain s/o Shri Hukumchand Jain, aged about 45 years, occu. Cierk-cum-cashier in State Bank Of India Agriculture development Branch, Rajnandgaon. Dist. Rajnandgaon

-VERSUS-

RESPONDENT :

1. Chairman
   State Bank of India, Mumbai
   Maharastra
2. Regional Manager,
   State Bank of India
   Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Branch Manager
   State Bank of India, Agriculture
   Development Branch, Add. Near
   Stadium, Rajnandgaon,
   Dist.Rajnandgaon
4. Branch Manager
   State Bank of India
   Branch- Rajhara, Dist. Rajhara

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(77)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

# आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.2179/2002 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

| आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित | आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश |
|---|---|---|
| | **05-04-2003** | |

Shri Shailendra Soni, counsel for the petitioner.

Heard.

This petition has been filed by the petitioner challenging the order dated 27-08-2002 (Annexure-P/1) passed by respondent No.3 transferring the services of the petitioner from Branch Office Rajnandgaon to Rajhara.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the health condition of the petitioner is critical and is not in a position to join to the present place of his posting. More over, the petitioner had been suffering from various diseases and remained under treatment for sufficiently long time. He had also applied to the bank for reimbursement of expenditure incurred by him on his treatment but he has not been given any financial assistance by the bank. Counsel further submitted that the petitioner has also made a representation describing his grievances therein, but the same still remains un-responded to.

On being asked about the rules and regulations applicable to the bank, counsel for the petitioner expressed his inability to produce anything in this regard. Apart from this the representation moved by the petitioner to the Bank does not bear any date also.

[पीछे देखिये

(78)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

# आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 2179/2002 मन/200

विरुद्ध

| आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित | आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश |
|---|---|---|
| | -2- | |

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and material on record, in the opinion of this Court ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner that he may make a fresh representation along with copy of petition with annexure and copy of this order before respondent No.2 within a month from today. If such a representation is filed the same shall be considered and decided on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible, preferably within a period of ~~three~~ two months from the date of receipt of representation.

With this observation this petition is disposed of with liberty to avail the remedy available in case any adverse order is passed.

In view of this order M.W.P.No.1247/2002 and I.A.No.7014/2002 stand disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

Subhi

12/9/14

[पीछे देखिये